Clinical Effectiveness of Guideline-Recommended Antiretroviral Therapy Core Agents in HIV/HCV Co-infected Patients in the OPERA Observational Database

¹Mt. Sinai Healthcare System, New York, NY; ²Epividian, Durham, NC; ³AIDS Healthcare Foundation, New York, NY; ⁴NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, NY; ⁵ViiV Healthcare, Research Triangle Park, NC

BACKGROUND

- HIV infection affects the natural history of HCV, resulting in faster progression of HCV in HIV/HCV co-infected patients
- Effective antiretroviral therapy (ART) can slow HCV progression to a rate comparable to HCV mono-infection
- INSTI trials included very few HIV/HCV co-infected patients, who had a higher incidence of liver biochemistry increases compared to HIV mono-infected patients, although these increases were similar across core agent comparators

OBJECTIVE:

To compare the effectiveness of the commonly used core agents dolutegravir (DTG), elvitegravir (EVG), raltegravir (RAL) and darunavir (DRV) in patients with HIV/HCV co-infection in the U.S.



METHODS

Study Population

- Observational Pharmaco-Epidemiology Research & Analysis (OPERA®) observational database: prospective electronic health record data from 79 HIV out-patient clinics in 15 U.S. states following 79,883 people living with HIV
- Inclusion criteria: HIV/HCV co-infected patients \geq 13 years of age initiating DTG, EVG, RAL or DRV with an HIV viral load >200 copies/ml between August 12, 2013 and June 30, 2016
- Baseline: date of DTG, EVG, RAL or DRV initiation
- Censoring events: 1) discontinuation of the core agent (gap \geq 45 days), 2) cessation of continuous clinical activity (≥ 1 clinic visit or telephone contact), 3) death, or 4) study end (June 30, 2017)

Exposure

• Core agents of interest (DTG, EVG, RAL or DRV), excluding regimens containing >1 core agent of interest

Outcomes

- Grade 3-4 liver enzyme elevation (LEE): alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) or alkaline phosphatase (ALK) >5.0 X upper limit of normal (ULN), or bilirubin >2.5 X ULN
- Viral suppression: viral load <50 copies/mL within 12 months of core agent initiation

Stratification

- ART-naïve: no history of ART prior to baseline and baseline viral load \geq 1,000 copies/mL
- ART-experienced: record of any ART treatment prior to baseline or baseline viral load \geq 200 and <1,000 copies/mL

Statistical Analyses

- Incidence of grade 3-4 liver enzyme elevation (LEE): among patients with normal baseline liver enzyme levels (AST, ALT, ALK and bilirubin $\leq 1 \times ULN$) and who remained HCV treatment naïve throughout follow-up
- 12-month suppression probability: Kaplan-Meier
- Time to viral suppression: multivariate Cox Proportional Hazards models adjusted for age, sex, race, HIV RNA, CD4 cell count, history of AIDS and VACS score at baseline

Presented at the 22nd International AIDS Conference – Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Douglas Dieterich¹, Laurence Brunet², Jennifer Fusco², Ricky Hsu^{3,4}, Vani Vannappagari⁵, Lloyd Curtis⁵, Maria Claudia Nascimento⁵, and Gregory Fusco²

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics (Table 1)

Table 1. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of ART-naïve patients

| | ART-naïve N=527 | | | | ART-experienced N=592 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | DTG, n(%) | EVG, n(%) | RAL, n(%) | DRV, n (%) | DTG, n(%) | EVG, n(%) | RAL, n(%) | DRV, n (%) |
| N (%) | 140 (27) | 164 (31) | 65 (12) | 158 (30) | 138 (23) | 101 (17) | 135 (23) | 218 (37) |
| Age ≥50 years | 46 (33) | 56 (34) | 27 (42) | 54 (34) | 59 (43) | 44 (44) | 52 (38) | 67 (31) |
| Female sex | 33 (24) | 41 (25) | 16 (25) | 32 (20) | 19 (14) | 23 (23) | 34 (25) | 49 (22) |
| African American | 43 (31) | 61 (37) | 27 (42) | 67 (42) | 52 (38) | 39 (39) | 49 (36) | 84 (38) |
| CD4 cell count ≤200 cells/µl | 38 (27) | 33 (20) | 25 (39) | 70 (44) | 30 (22) | 24 (24) | 45 (33) | 81 (37) |
| HIV RNA (copies/ml) | | | | | | | | |
| ≥200 to <1,000 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 50 (36) | 30 (30) | 37 (27) | 62 (28) |
| ≥1,000 to <10,000 | 22 (16) | 29 (18) | 14 (22) | 25 (16) | 23 (17) | 25 (25) | 36 (27) | 40 (18) |
| ≥10,000 to <100,000 | 78 (56) | 90 (55) | 36 (55) | 73 (46) | 44 (32) | 33 (33) | 38 (28) | 73 (34) |
| ≥100,000 | 40 (29) | 45 (27) | 15 (23) | 60 (38) | 21 (15) | 13 (13) | 24 (18) | 43 (20) |
| History of AIDS- defining illness | 6 (4) | 12 (7) | 8 (12) | 23 (15) | 26 (19) | 16 (16) | 53 (39) | 74 (34) |
| VACS* ≥45 | 45 (32) | 48 (29) | 29 (45) | 59 (37) | 45 (33) | 30 (30) | 54 (40) | 84 (38) |
| HCV treatment-naïve | 137 (98) | 162 (99) | 63 (97) | 157 (99) | 125 (91) | 99 (98) | 115 (85) | 196 (90) |

* VACS Mortality Index: Scored by summing pre-assigned points for age, CD4 count, HIV-1 RNA, hemoglobin, platelets, aspartate and alanine transaminase, creatinine, and viral hepatitis C infection. A higher score is associated with a higher risk of 5-year all-cause mortalit

Liver Enzyme Elevation During Follow-Up

• Grade 3-4 LEE was rare among ART-naïve (Figure 1A) and ART-experienced (Figure 1B) patients who remained HCV-treatment naïve throughout follow-up and had normal baseline liver enzyme levels, with no statistically significant difference in incidence across core agent used

Figure 1. Incidence of grade 3-4 liver enzyme elevation in (A) ART-naïve patients and (B) ART-experienced patients*



*Population restricted to patients with normal baseline liver enzyme levels (AST, ALT, ALK and bilirubin ≤1 X ULN) and who remained HCV treatment naïve throughout follow-up

Cumulative Probability of Viral Suppression

- DRV users had the lowest cumulative probability of viral suppression by 12 months of ART, in both ART-naïve and ART-experienced patients (Figure 2)
- Among ART-naïve patients, viral suppression probability was not statistically significantly different between DTG, EVG and RAL (Figure 2A)
- Among ART-experienced patients, viral suppression probability was not statistically significantly different between any of the core agents (Figure 2B)

Figure 2. Cumulative probability of HIV viral suppression in (A) ART-naïve and (B) ART-experienced patients



Time to Viral Suppression

- Among ART-naïve patients, only DRV users had a slower time to viral suppression compared to DTG users; adjusted hazard ratio (aHR): 0.47 (95% CI: 0.33, 0.66) (Figure 3A)
- Among ART-experienced patients, only DRV users had a slower time to viral suppression compared to DTG users; aHR: 0.67 (95% CI: 0.48, 0.94) (Figure 3B)



Contact Information: Laurence Brunet 4505 Emperor Blvd, Suite 220, Durham, NC P: 919-827-0010 Email: laurence.brunet@epividian.com

Figure 3. Association between core agents and time to viral suppression in (A) ART-naïve and (B) ART-experienced patients



* Adjusted for age \geq 50, sex, race, HIV RNA (cubic splines with knots at 10,000 and 100,000 copies/mL for ART-naïve and knots at 1,000 and 10,000 copies/mL for ART-experienced); CD4 cell count ≤200 cells/µL, history of AIDS and VACS score (15-29, 30-44, ≥45 vs. <15)

DISCUSSION

- LEE were rare among ART-naïve and ART-experienced patients with normal baseline liver enzyme levels without HCV treatment and all core agents were comparable, although the small number of events was a limiting factor (Figure 1)
- Patterns of 12-month probability of viral suppression and time to suppression were comparable for ART-naïve and ART-experienced patients
- Compared to DTG, 12-month probability of viral suppression was significantly lower with DRV, but not with RAL or EVG in ART-naïve patients (Figure 2A)
- 12-month probability of viral suppression did not differ significantly between any of the core agents in ART-experienced patients (Figure 2B)
- Only DRV was associated with a slower time to suppression compared to DTG in ARTnaïve and ART-experienced patients, after adjustment for confounding (Figure 3)
- Both DRV and DTG are recommended for patients with known or suspected poor adherence

KEY FINDINGS

Among HIV/HCV co-infected patients, all INSTIs (DTG, EVG, RAL) performed as well in terms of viral suppression, while DRV use resulted in poorer virologic outcomes. Comparable results were obtained among ART-naïve patients and ART-experienced patients who switched with a viral load ≥ 200 copies/ml.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research would not be possible without the generosity of the OPERA HIV caregivers and their patients. Additionally, we are grateful for the following individuals: Robin Beckerman (SAS programming), Jeff Briney (QA), Ted Ising (Database Arch & Mgmt), Bernie Stooks (Database Mgmt), Judy Johnson (Med Terminology Classification), Rodney Mood (Site Support & Data Analyst).

SPONSORSHIP

This research was funded by ViiV Healthcare.









